

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
GREENVILLE DIVISION

David V. Williams, Jr.,)	
)	
Petitioner,)	
)	Civil Action No. 6:19-cv-02845-TMC
v.)	
)	
Warden, FCI Estill,)	ORDER
)	
Respondent.)	

Petitioner David V. Williams, Jr., a federal prisoner proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis*, filed a petition seeking habeas relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. (ECF No. 1). In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local Civil Rule 73.02, D.S.C., this matter was referred to a magistrate judge for pretrial handling. Before the court is the magistrate judge’s Report and Recommendation (“Report”), recommending that the court summarily dismiss with prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b) for failure to comply with a court order and without requiring Respondent to file an answer or return. (ECF No. 25). Petitioner was advised of his right to object to the Report. (ECF No. 25-1). However, Petitioner filed no objections, and the time to do so has now run.

The Report has no presumptive weight and the responsibility to make a final determination in this matter remains with this court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270–71 (1976). In the absence of objections, this court is not required to provide an explanation for adopting the Report. *See Camby v. Davis*, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983). Rather, “in the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a *de novo* review, but instead must only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the

recommendation.” *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee’s note).

After a careful and thorough review of the record under the appropriate standards, as set forth above, the court adopts the Report (ECF No. 25), which is incorporated herein by reference. Accordingly, this case is **DISMISSED with prejudice** for failure to comply with a court order, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Timothy M. Cain
United States District Judge

Anderson, South Carolina
January 2, 2020